

<u>SUBJECT</u>		<u>DATE</u>
1339. The Hazardous Waste Characteristic of Reactivity (D003)	ENCORE	JUL 11, 2019
1340. Central Accumulation Areas and Signage Requirements		JUL 18, 2019
1341. RCRA EPA Identification Numbers – Site Specifics	ENCORE	JUL 25, 2019
1342. RCRA EPA Identification Numbers – Transporters	ENCORE	AUG 1, 2019
1343. Paint Wastes and the Applicability of the F001-F005 Listings to Ingredients	ENCORE	AUG 8, 2019
1344. F Listings and Ingredients in Commercial Chemical Product Formulations	ENCORE	AUG 15, 2019
1345. PCB Containers and $\geq 50$ ppm	ENCORE	AUG 22, 2019
1346. CERCLA Hazardous Substances – The Petroleum Exclusion	ENCORE	AUG 29, 2019
1347. PCB Concentration Assumptions for Use vs. PCB Disposal	ENCORE	SEP 5, 2019
1348. RCRA LR One-Year Storage Prohibition vs., PCB One-Year Disposal Time Limit		SEP 12, 2019
1349. Regulatory Status of PCB Remediation Wastes Disposed Prior to April 18, 1978	ENCORE	SEP 19, 2019
1350. Regulatory Status of PCB Remediation Wastes Disposed Prior to April 18, 1978 – A Follow-Up		SEP 26, 2019
1351. PCB Waste Regulation and April 18, 1978 vs. July 2, 1979		OCT 3, 2019
1352. PCB Waste Storage Limitations and the One-Year Extension	ENCORE	OCT 10, 2019
1353. PCB Waste Storage Limitations and the PCB Radioactive Waste Exemption	ENCORE	OCT 17, 2019
1354. LDR One-Year Storage Prohibition and Generator Permitted Storage	ENCORE	OCT 24, 2019
1355. LDR Notification/Certification and Generator Permitted Storage		OCT 31, 2019
1356. Disposing of PCB Ballasts with PCB Potting Material	ENCORE	NOV 7, 2019
1357. Fluorescent Light Ballasts and PCB Annual Reporting	ENCORE	NOV 14, 2019
1358. Multiple Characteristic Hazardous Waste Codes and Underlying Hazardous Constituents	ENCORE	NOV 21, 2019
1359. Multiple Characteristic and Listed Hazardous Waste Codes and the “in lieu of” LDR Principle	ENCORE	NOV 26, 2019
1360. Universal Waste Lamps and Prohibition on Crushing	ENCORE	DEC 5, 2019
1361. Used Oil and Weekly Inspections	ENCORE	DEC 12, 2019
1362. Used Oil and Keeping Containers Closed – Washington State vs. the Feds	ENCORE	DEC 19, 2019
1363. ‘Twas the Night Before Christmas – The Twenty-Sixth Annual Edition		DEC 24, 2019
1364. Generator Weekly Inspection Log Documentation – Federal vs. WA State	ENCORE	JAN 2, 2020
1365. PCB Reporting and Recordkeeping Relief	ENCORE	JAN 9, 2020
1366. Satellite Accumulation and Product Vessel Cleanouts	ENCORE	JAN 16, 2020
1367. TSDF Requirements When Shipping Dangerous Waste to another TSDF		JAN 23, 2020
1368. The Hazardous Waste Manifest Instructions – Where did they go?		JAN 30, 2020
1369. The Mixtures Rule – Washington State vs. The Feds	ENCORE	FEB 6, 2020
1370. Used Oil and the Rebuttable Presumption		FEB 13, 2020
1371. Used Oil, Secondary Containment and Response to Spills	ENCORE	FEB 20, 2020
1372. Used Oil Eligibility for Animal and Vegetable Oils	ENCORE	FEB 27, 2020
1373. Used Oil Eligibility for Petroleum Oils Mixed with Animal or Vegetable Oils	ENCORE	MAR 5, 2020
1374. Mercury Wet Cell Batteries - Debris or Not Debris?	ENCORE	MAR 12, 2020
1375. Hazardous Debris and Non-Radioactive Lead-Acid Batteries	ENCORE	MAR 19, 2020

## TWO MINUTE TRAINING

**TO:** CH2M HILL PLATEAU REMEDIATION COMPANY

**FROM:** PAUL W. MARTIN, RCRA Subject Matter Expert  
CHPRC Environmental Protection, Hanford, WA

**SUBJECT:** HAZARDOUS DEBRIS AND NON-RADIOACTIVE LEAD-ACID BATTERIES

**DATE:** MARCH 19, 2020

<u>CHPRC Projects</u>	<u>CH PRC - Env. Protection</u>	<u>MSA</u>	<u>Hanford Laboratories</u>	<u>Other Hanford Contractors</u>	<u>Other Hanford Contractors</u>
Richard Austin Tania Bates Rene Catlow Richard Clinton Larry Cole Laura Cusack John Dent Lorna Dittmer Stuart Hildreth Mike Jennings Stephanie Johansen Sasa Kosjerina Melvin Lakes Richard Lipinski Stuart Mortensen Dave Richards Phil Sheely Connie Simiele Jeff Westcott	Jeff Bramson Bob Bullock Frank Carleo Danielle Collins Bill Cox Jeanne Elkins Ryan Fisher Jonathan Fullmer Barry Lawrence Diane Leist Mitch Marrott Stewart McMahand Brian Mitcheltree Anthony Nagel Linda Petersen Sean Sexton Dave Shea Kat Thompson Wayne Toebe Eric Trotta Daniel Turlington Dave Watson	Brett Barnes Michael Carlson Mike Demiter Kip George Jerry Cammann Jeff Ehlis Garin Erickson Panfilo Gonzalez Jr. Dashia Huff Mark Kamberg Jon McKibben Saul Martinez Matt Mills Carly Nelson Michelle Oates Eric Pennala Jon Perry Christina Robison Christian Seavoy David Shaw John Skogleie Lana Strickling Greg Sullivan	(TBD)  <u>DOE RL, ORP, WIPP</u>  Mary Beth Burandt Duane Carter Al Farabee Tony McKarns	Bill Bachmann Dean Baker Scott Baker Lucinda Borneman Paul Crane Tina Crane Ron Del Mar John Dorian Mark Ellefson Darrin Faulk Rob Gregory James Hamilton Andy Hobbs Ryan Johnson Megan Lerchen Mike Lowery Michael Madison Terri Mars Cary Martin Grant McCalmant Steve Metzger Tony Miskho Tom Moon Chuck Mulkey Kirk Peterson	Dan Saueressig Joelle Moss Glen Triner Greg Varljen Julie Waddoups Jay Warwick Ted Wooley

Approved for Public Release;  
Further Dissemination Unlimited

**DISCLAIMER** - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

## TWO MINUTE TRAINING

**SUBJECT:** Hazardous Debris and Non-Radioactive Lead-Acid Batteries

**Q:** In last week's Two Minute Training (2MT) we learned that if spent mercury wet cell batteries are still considered intact containers, the batteries must be managed per the land disposal restriction (LDR) treatment standards at [40 CFR 268.40](#) for RMERC (retorting or roasting of mercury for recovery). However, if the mercury wet cell batteries are not considered intact containers, i.e., ruptured or no longer retain at least 75% of their original volume, then the batteries can be managed according to the alternative treatment standards for debris at [40 CFR 268.45](#). Concerning lead-acid batteries, if a customer has damaged, spent (nonradioactive) lead-acid batteries, e.g., burned and melted during a building fire and no longer intact containers, can the lead-acid batteries be managed as debris per 40 CFR 268.45? Or, are the lead-acid batteries still subject to the 40 CFR 268.40, Table, "Treatment Standards for Hazardous Waste" since the lead-acid batteries have an LDR-specific treatment standard of RLEAD (recovery of lead)?

**A:** [40 CFR 268.2](#), "Definitions applicable to this part" (LDR), paragraph (g) basically defines "debris" as a solid waste material >60 mm (2 in.) that is a manufactured object, plant or animal matter; or natural geologic material.

*"However, the following materials are not debris: any material for which a specific treatment standard is provided in Subpart D, Part 268, namely lead acid batteries, cadmium batteries, and radioactive lead solids..."*

So, in general, spent lead-acid batteries cannot be managed as debris since they have a specific treatment standard in 40 CFR 268.40, which for nonwastewaters is RLEAD. Concerning the burned and melted batteries that are no longer intact containers, their status as intact or non-intact containers does not alter the prohibition that spent lead-acid batteries cannot be managed as debris. As clarified in an EPA Guidance Memo dated November 10, 1993, ([RO 13638](#)) EPA stated:

*"Such batteries (non-intact containers) would still not be subject to the treatment standards for debris because there is a more specific treatment standard for lead acid or cadmium batteries".*

Therefore, lead-acid batteries (and cadmium batteries) cannot be managed as debris, e.g., macroencapsulated, even if the batteries are no longer intact containers. Since the lead-acid batteries have a specific treatment standard in 40 CFR 268.40, the definition of debris prohibits management as debris under 40 CFR 268.45.

Note that the EPA guidance referred to Footnote 10 in the [August 18, 1992, Federal Register](#) on page 37222. EPA has since incorporated the specifics of the footnote into 40 CFR 268.2(g) with the wording, the following materials are not debris, "...namely lead acid batteries, cadmium batteries, and radioactive lead solids..." Also, note that the EPA guidance was specific to mercury batteries as intact containers but the wording on lead-acid batteries is applicable to any lead-acid batteries subject to LDR.

### SUMMARY:

- Wastes eligible for the alternative treatment standards of debris are defined at 40 CFR 268.2(g).
- The definition of debris specifically states that lead-acid batteries are not debris since they have a specific treatment standard in 40 CFR 268.40.
- Even if the lead-acid battery is a non-intact container, which is generally debris, spent lead-acid batteries cannot be managed under the alternative treatment standards of debris at 40 CFR 268.45 and must meet the specific treatment standard in 40 CFR 268.40.

Excerpts from 40 CFR 268.2, 268.40 and the November 10, 1993, EPA memo are attached to the e-mail. If you have any questions, contact me at [Paul W. Martin@rl.gov](mailto:Paul.W.Martin@rl.gov) or at (509) 376-6620.

**FROM:** Paul W. Martin

**DATE:** 03/19/2020

**FILE:** 2MT\2020\031920.rtf

**PG:** 1

**DISCLAIMER** - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

**TWO MINUTE TRAINING - ATTACHMENT**

**SUBJECT:** Hazardous Debris and Non-Radioactive Lead-Acid Batteries

**40 CFR 268.40 Applicability of treatment standards / Treatment Standards for Hazardous Wastes**

Regulated hazardous constituent				Wastewaters	Nonwastewaters
Waste Code	Waste Description and treatment/Regulatory Subcategory	Common Name	CAS#	Concentration in mg/L; or Technology Code	Concentration in mg/kg unless noted as "mg/L TCLP" or Technology Code
D008	Wastes that exhibit, or are expected to exhibit, the characteristic of toxicity for lead based on the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) in SW846.	Lead	7439-92-1	0.69 and meet §268.48 standards	0.75 mg/L TCLP and meet §268.48 standards
	Lead Acid Batteries Subcategory (Note: This standard only applies to lead acid batteries that are identified as RCRA hazardous wastes and that are not excluded elsewhere from regulation under the land disposal restrictions of 40 CFR 268 or exempted under other EPA regulations (see 40 CFR 266.80). This subcategory consists of nonwastewaters only.)			NA	RLEAD [Thermal recovery of lead in secondary lead smelters.]

**40 CFR §268.2 Definitions applicable in this part**

When used in this part the following terms have the meanings given below:

(g) *Debris* means solid material exceeding a 60 mm particle size that is intended for disposal and that is: A manufactured object; or plant or animal matter; or natural geologic material. **However, the following materials are not debris: any material for which a specific treatment standard is provided in Subpart D, Part 268, namely lead acid batteries, cadmium batteries, and radioactive lead solids; process residuals such as smelter slag and residues from the treatment of waste, wastewater, sludges, or air emission residues; and intact containers of hazardous waste that are not ruptured and that retain at least 75% of their original volume. A mixture of debris that has not been treated to the standards provided by §268.45 and other material is subject to regulation as debris if the mixture is comprised primarily of debris, by volume, based on visual inspection.**

**TWO MINUTE TRAINING - ATTACHMENT**

**SUBJECT:** Hazardous Debris and Non-Radioactive Lead-acid Batteries

REGULATORY STATUS OF BATTERY CARCASSES

9441.1993(23)

**United States Environmental Protection Agency  
Washington, D.C. 20460  
Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response**

Mr. Christopher L. Freed  
Manager - Environmental Regulation s  
Chemical Waste Management, Inc.  
3001 Butterfield Road  
Oak Brook, Illinois 60521

November 10, 1993

Dear Mr. Freed:

Thank you for your letter of April 30, 1993 summarizing your meeting of April 29, 1993 with Richard Kinch of my staff. Upon further investigation of this issue since the receipt of your letter, however, it is clear that battery carcasses do not qualify as debris. They are considered to be containers, as explained below.

As discussed in detail in the preamble to the final rule establishing alternate treatment standards for hazardous debris, intact containers are not debris, and hence are not subject to the treatment standards for debris. 57 FR 37225 (August 18, 1992). In addition, in previous rulemakings EPA has stated that battery casings designed to hold free liquids for use other than storage are containers. I refer you specifically to 40 CFR 264.314(d)(3); 265.314(c)(3); and 55 FR 22637/2 (June 1, 1990). Thus, such intact battery casings are not debris.

In your letter, you state that EPA suggested, elsewhere in the preamble to the final debris rule, that batteries could be debris unless they are subject to a specific treatment standard. I believe you have based this statement on the discussion at 57 FR 37222 and footnote 10, which gives "lead acid or cadmium batteries" as an example of a debris subject to a specific treatment standard. Unfortunately, you then draw the inference that because mercury batteries are not mentioned in this footnote, they are therefore debris.

This is an incorrect conclusion. First, please note that the actual regulatory language does not contain the example of the lead acid battery. 57 FR at 37270. More important, as explained above, intact containers are never classified as debris. Consequently, the example in footnote 10 refers only to lead acid or cadmium batteries that are not intact. Such batteries would still not be subject to the treatment standards for debris because there is a more specific treatment standard for lead acid or cadmium batteries. The footnote does not, however, in any way vitiate the general principle that intact containers are not debris and that batteries are types of containers. I hope this response, based on a thorough examination of the issue of concern, is helpful. If you need further information, please contact Richard Kinch, Chief of the Waste Treatment Branch in our Waste Management Division at (703) 308-8434.

Sincerely,

Bruce R. Weddle, Acting Director  
Office of Solid Waste

RO 13638

**FROM:** Paul W. Martin

**DATE:** 03/19/2020

**FILE:** 2MT\2020\031920.rtf

**PG:** 3

**DISCLAIMER** - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.