

<u>SUBJECT</u>		<u>DATE</u>
1056. Hazardous Waste Tanks and the Less than 90-Day Accumulation Time Limit	ENCORE	APR 23, 2015
1057. Decharacterized RCRA Waste - Manifesting and LDR Reporting	ENCORE	APR 30, 2015
1058. Decharacterized Hazardous Waste Listed Solely for Non-Toxic Characteristics	ENCORE	MAY 7, 2015
1059. Decharacterized Wastes, <90-Day Accumulation Time Limits and LDR Storage Prohibition	ENCORE	MAY 14, 2015
1060. Decharacterized Wastes and the LDR Dilution Prohibition	ENCORE	MAY 21, 2015
1061. Hazardous Debris Macroencapsulation and Size Reduction	ENCORE	MAY 28, 2015
1062. Universal Waste Lamps and Prohibition on Crushing		JUN 4, 2015
1063. F003 Listed Hazardous Waste and the 10% Rule	ENCORE	JUN 11, 2015
1064. F001 - F005 Listed Hazardous Waste and the 10% Rule	ENCORE	JUN 18, 2015
1065. Macroencapsulation of Hazardous Debris and Presence of Free Liquids	ENCORE	JUN 25, 2015
1066. DOT Shipping of Damaged, Defective or Recalled Lithium Batteries		JUL 1, 2015
1067. Used Oil Eligibility for Animal and Vegetable Oils	ENCORE	JUL 9, 2015
1068. Used Oil Eligibility for Petroleum Oils Mixed with Animal or Vegetable Oils		JUL 16, 2015
1069. Conditioned Exclusion for Listed Hazardous Waste Debris Treated via Extraction/Destruction	ENCORE	JUL 23, 2015
1070. Conditioned Exclusion for Characteristic Debris Treated via Immobilization		JUL 30, 2015
1071. RCRA Personnel Training and Classroom Training vs. Online Training		AUG 6, 2015
1072. PCB Decontamination Standards with No Decontamination Performed		AUG 13, 2015
1073. PCB Manifest Exceptions a.k.a. When is a PCB Manifest Not Required	ENCORE	AUG 19, 2015
1074. PCB Manifest Relief a.k.a. When is a PCB Manifest Not Required – The Sequel		AUG 27, 2015
1075. Hazardous Debris and Radioactively Contaminated Cadmium Batteries	ENCORE	SEP 3, 2015
1076. Hazardous Debris and Radioactively Contaminated Lead Acid Batteries	ENCORE	SEP 10, 2015
1077. Mercury Wet Cell Batteries - Debris or Not Debris	ENCORE	SEP 17, 2015
1078. Hazardous Debris and Non-Radioactive Lead Acid Batteries		SEP 24, 2015
1079. Unused Paraformaldehyde - U Listed Hazardous Waste or Not?	ENCORE	OCT 1, 2015
1080. CAS Numbers and the Hazardous Waste "U" and "P" Listings	ENCORE	OCT 8, 2015
1081. Universal Waste One Year Accumulation and Multiple Handlers	ENCORE	OCT 15, 2015
1082. LDR Notifications and F001-F005 Constituents of Concern	ENCORE	OCT 29, 2015
1083. LDR Notifications and F001-F005 Constituents of Concern – Again	ENCORE	NOV 5, 2015
1084. LDR Notifications and F001-F005 Constituents of Concern - One Last Time	ENCORE	NOV 12, 2015
1085. DOT and Terminal Protection of Alkaline Batteries	ENCORE	NOV 19, 2015
1086. Used Oil and Keeping Containers Closed – WAC 173-303 vs. 40 CFR 279		NOV 24, 2015
1087. PCB Weight Determinations	ENCORE	DEC 3, 2015
1088. Satellite Accumulation Requirements and Container Inspections	ENCORE	DEC 10, 2015
1089. 'Twas The Night Before Christmas - The Twenty-Third Annual Edition	ENCORE	DEC 24, 2015
1090. Satellite Accumulation and 85-Gallon Containers	ENCORE	DEC 31, 2015
1091. PCB Date Removed From Service Notations – On the Item or In a Log	ENCORE	JAN 7, 2016
1092. The Date Removed From Service Marking on the PCB Mark	ENCORE	JAN 14, 2016
1093. Generator Weekly Inspection Log Documentation – Federal vs. WA State	ENCORE	JAN 21, 2016
1094. Used Oil and Weekly Inspections	ENCORE	JAN 28, 2016
1095. TSCA/PCB Determinations for Fluorescent Light Ballasts via the Manufacture Date	ENCORE	FEB 4, 2016
1096. PCB Containers and Multiple Removed From Service Dates	ENCORE	FEB 11, 2016
1097. Generator Inspection Logs and Corrective Action Documentation	ENCORE	FEB 18, 2016
1098. PCB Concentrations and Micrograms per Centimeters Squared (µg/cm ²)		FEB 25, 2016
1099. RCRA Empty Containers and Removing as Much Waste as Possible	ENCORE	MAR 3, 2016
1100. PCB Incineration and "Six Nines" Destruction Removal Efficiency Criteria	ENCORE	MAR 10, 2016
1101. RCRA Treatment and The Two-Part Definition		MAR 17, 2016
1102. D002 Waste and Dilution as Adequate LDR Treatment	ENCORE	MAR 24, 2016
1103. Satellite Accumulation of Aerosol Cans and Determining the 55-Gallon Limit		MAR 31, 2016
1104. Satellite Accumulation and Process Location Changes	ENCORE	APR 7, 2016
1105. Satellite Accumulation Prior to and After Recycling		APR 14, 2016
1106. Method Detection Limits and Hazardous Waste Determinations	ENCORE	APR 21, 2016
1107. Method Detection Limits and Hazardous Waste Determinations II	ENCORE	APR 28, 2016

DISCLAIMER - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

TWO MINUTE TRAINING

TO: CH2M HILL PLATEAU REMEDIATION COMPANY

FROM: PAUL W. MARTIN, RCRA Subject Matter Expert
CHPRC Environmental Protection, Hanford, WA

SUBJECT: METHOD DETECTION LIMITS AND HAZARDOUS WASTE DETERMINATIONS II

DATE: APRIL 28, 2016

<u>CHPRC Projects</u>	<u>CH PRC - Env. Protection</u>	<u>MSA</u>	<u>Hanford Laboratories</u>	<u>Other Hanford Contractors</u>	<u>Other Hanford Contractors</u>
Richard Austin Roni Ashley Tania Bates Bob Cathel Rene Catlow Richard Clinton Larry Cole John Dent Brian Dixon Eric Erpenbeck Stuart Hildreth Mike Jennings Stephanie Johansen Jeanne Kisielnicki Melvin Lakes Marty Martin Jim McGrogan Stuart Mortensen Anthony Nagel Dean Nester Dave Richards Phil Sheely Connie Simiele Jennie Stults Michael Waters Jeff Widney	Brett Barnes Mitch Boyd Ron Brunke Bill Cox Laura Cusack Lorna Dittmer Rick Engelmann Ted Hopkins Sasa Kosjerina Jim Leary Dale McKenney Jon McKibben Rick Oldham Linda Petersen Fred Ruck Ray Swenson Wayne Toebe Lee Tuott Daniel Turlington Dave Watson Joel Williams	Jerry Cammann Jeff Ehlis Garin Erickson Lori Fritz Panfilo Gonzales Jr. Dashia Huff Mark Kamberg Edwin Lamm Candice Marple Saul Martinez Jon Perry Thomas Pysto Christina Robison Don Rokkan Lana Strickling Lou Upton	(TBD) <u>DOE RL, ORP, WIPP</u> Mary Beth Burandt Duane Carter Cliff Clark Mike Collins Tony McKarns Ellen Mattlin Greg Sinton Scott Stubblebine	Bill Bachmann Dean Baker Scott Baker Lucinda Borneman Paul Crane Tina Crane Jeff DeLine Ron Del Mar John Dorian Mark Ellefson Darrin Faulk Joe Fritts Tom Gilmore Rob Gregory Gene Grohs James Hamilton Andy Hobbs Ryan Johnson Dan Kimball Megan Lerchen Richard Lipinski Charles (Mike) Lowery Michael Madison Terri Mars Cary Martin Grant McCalmant Steve Metzger Tony Miskho Matt Mills Tom Moon Chuck Mulkey Mandy Pascual Kirk Peterson Jean Quigley	Dan Saueressig Merrie Schilperoort Joelle Moss Glen Triner Greg Varljen Julie Waddoups Jay Warwick Kyle Webster Jeff Westcott Ted Wooley

DISCLAIMER - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

TWO MINUTE TRAINING

SUBJECT: Method Detection Limits and Hazardous Waste Determinations II

Q: In last week's 2MT, we learned that if the analytical method detection limit (MDL) is higher than the regulatory level of a waste constituent, the generator must re-test the waste at a lab that can achieve an appropriate MDL; or use generator knowledge to determine if the waste is regulated as hazardous waste; or assume the waste is hazardous and manage accordingly. Can a pseudo-real life example be given that is semi-related to the above concept? And while at it, throw in a land disposal restriction (LDR) and a Toxic Characteristic Leachate Procedure (TCLP) vs. Totals analysis twist.

A: OK!

A customer has a nonwastewater waste that contains 2, 4 Dinitrotoluene (2, 4, D) which is the constituent for the characteristic hazardous waste code D030. The customer has the waste analyzed and the result is indicated as "less than the MDL of 160 mg/kg totals". The MDL is fairly high due to interferences caused by radioactivity which is another story. The regulatory level for D030 is 0.13 mg/l TCLP and the LDR treatment standard is 140 mg/kg totals (nonwastewater).

To determine applicable waste management regulations, the customer must first determine if this waste is regulated as a characteristic hazardous waste. The customer is aware of the three options when the MDL is higher than the regulatory level and the customer assumes that the MDL is the analytical result for the 2, 4, D constituent. Also, having recently had training in hazardous waste determinations with emphasis on TCLP and totals analysis, the customer knows that the totals result can be divided by 20 (the dilution factor for TCLP analysis) to get a conservative estimate of whether or not the waste exceeds the TCLP regulatory level of 0.13 mg/l. Dividing the totals result of 160 by 20 gives an estimated TCLP result of 8 mg/l, which exceeds the regulatory level of 0.13 mg/l TCLP. Therefore the customer's waste is regulated as a characteristic hazardous waste D030.

Next, since the waste is regulated as a hazardous waste it is subject to LDR determinations. The MDL of 160 mg/kg is assumed to be the analytical result for the 2, 4, D constituent. Per 40 CFR 268.40, the LDR treatment standard for 2, 4, D is 140 mg/kg (and meet 40 CFR 268.48 for underlying hazardous constituents). Since the customer is assuming the MDL (160 mg/kg) is the analytical result, the 2, 4, D exceeds the LDR treatment standard (140 mg/kg), the waste must be treated prior to landfilling.

SUMMARY:

- Since the MDL is higher than the regulatory level, the generator can assume the MDL is the analytical result in making the hazardous waste and LDR determinations.
- And since the MDL result is in totals analysis, the generator can divide the totals result by 20 to get an estimate of the TCLP analytical result and the applicability of the D030 characteristic waste code.
- Once determined to be a hazardous waste, LDR determinations can be made and since the MDL is higher than the LDR treatment standard, the waste must be treated per the LDR standards prior to landfill.

Nothing is attached to the e-mail. If you have any questions, contact me at "Paul_W_Martin@rl.gov" or at (509) 376-6620.

FROM: Paul W. Martin

DATE: 4/28/16

FILE: c:\...\2MT\2016\042816.rtf

PG: 1

DISCLAIMER - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.