

<u>SUBJECT</u>		<u>DATE</u>
1188.	RCRA Empty Containers vs. TSCA PCB Decontaminated Containers - Scenario II	ENCORE AUG 11, 2016
1189.	RCRA Empty Containers vs. TSCA PCB Decontaminated Containers - Scenario III	ENCORE AUG 18, 2016
1190.	Product Spills and Waste Determinations	ENCORE AUG 25, 2016
1191.	Product Spills, Waste Determinations, and LDR	ENCORE SEP 1, 2016
1192.	Regulatory Status of Caustic Rinse Waters Contaminated with Trace Solvents	ENCORE SEP 8, 2016
1193.	Regulatory Status of Sand Blast Grit Contaminated with Trace Listed Solvents	ENCORE SEP 15, 2016
1194.	Hazardous Waste "F" Listings and Trace Contamination	ENCORE SEP 22, 2016
1195.	Hazardous Waste "F" Listings and Trace Contamination – Again!	ENCORE SEP 29, 2016
1196.	Hazardous Waste Determinations and Phase Separation	ENCORE OCT 6, 2016
1197.	Asbestos and DOT Relief	ENCORE OCT 13, 2016
1198.	PCB Containers and Concentration of PCBs	ENCORE OCT 20, 2016
1199.	PCB Analytical Waste Disposal Requirements	ENCORE OCT 27, 2016
1200.	PCB Analytical Waste Disposal Requirements – Water vs. Organic Liquids and Non-aqueous Inorganic Liquids	ENCORE NOV 3, 2016
1201.	Listed Waste Codes and Pre-RCRA Wastes	ENCORE NOV 10, 2016
1202.	Purpose of the ≤90-day Hazardous Waste Accumulation Exemption	ENCORE NOV 17, 2016
1203.	Used Oil Eligibility for Turkey and Ham Oils	ENCORE NOV 23, 2016
1204.	PCB Reporting and Recordkeeping Relief	ENCORE DEC 1, 2016
1205.	Defining Criteria for Household Waste Exclusion	ENCORE DEC 8, 2016
1206.	The Household Waste Exclusion and Renovation Debris	ENCORE DEC 15, 2016
1207.	'Twas the Night before Christmas – The Twenty-Fourth Annual Edition	ENCORE DEC 24, 2016
1208.	The Household Waste Exclusion and Renovation Debris – Part II	ENCORE DEC 29, 2016
1209.	Absorbent Additions and Treatment	ENCORE JAN 5, 2017
1210.	Frozen RCRA Wastewater - DOT Liquid or Solid When Manifested?	ENCORE JAN 12, 2017
1211.	DOT Marking Specifications for the "UN", "NA" and "ID" Markings	ENCORE JAN 19, 2017
1212.	Satellite Accumulation within a ≤90-day Accumulation Area	ENCORE JAN 26, 2017
1213.	Washington State-Only Dangerous Waste Markings – Accumulation vs. Pre-Transport	ENCORE FEB 2, 2017
1214.	RCRA Empty Tanker Trailers and Listed Waste Codes	ENCORE FEB 9, 2017
1215.	RCRA Empty vs. DOT Empty	ENCORE FEB 16, 2017
1216.	RCRA Empty vs. DOT Empty II	ENCORE FEB 23, 2017
1217.	Multiple Characteristic Hazardous Waste Codes and Underlying Hazardous Constituents	ENCORE MAR 2, 2017
1218.	Multiple Characteristic and Listed Hazardous Waste Codes and the "in lieu of" LDR Principle	ENCORE MAR 9, 2017
1219.	LDR Storage Prohibitions and the One-Year Rule	ENCORE MAR 16, 2017
1220.	LDR Storage Prohibitions and Treated Wastes	ENCORE MAR 23, 2017
1221.	LDR Storage Prohibitions and Treated Hazardous Debris or Contaminated Soil	ENCORE MAR 30, 2017
1222.	LDR Requirements for Universal Wastes	ENCORE APR 6, 2017
1223.	LDR Requirements for Spent Lead-Acid Batteries Being Reclaimed	ENCORE APR 13, 2017
1224.	When is When Defined for the RCRA Phrase "When Reclaimed"?	ENCORE APR 20, 2017
1225.	RCRA Characteristic of Ignitability and DOT Oxidizers	ENCORE APR 27, 2017
1226.	Safety Data Sheets (SDSs) and Hazardous Wastes	ENCORE MAY 4, 2017
1227.	Containers and Tanks – RCRA Wastes vs. TSCA PCB Wastes	ENCORE MAY 11, 2017
1228.	Universal Waste Lamps and Prohibition on Crushing	ENCORE MAY 18, 2017

DISCLAIMER - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

TWO MINUTE TRAINING

TO: CH2M HILL PLATEAU REMEDIATION COMPANY

FROM: PAUL W. MARTIN, RCRA Subject Matter Expert
CHPRC Environmental Protection, Hanford, WA

SUBJECT: UNIVERSAL WASTE LAMPS AND PROHIBITION ON CRUSHING

DATE: MAY 18, 2017

<u>CHPRC Projects</u>	<u>CH PRC - Env. Protection</u>	<u>MSA</u>	<u>Hanford Laboratories</u>	<u>Other Hanford Contractors</u>	<u>Other Hanford Contractors</u>
Richard Austin Roni Ashley Tania Bates Rene Catlow Richard Clinton Larry Cole John Dent Brian Dixon Eric Erpenbeck Stuart Hildreth Mike Jennings Stephanie Johansen Jeanne Kisielnicki Melvin Lakes Jim McGrogan Stuart Mortensen Dean Nester Dave Richards Phil Sheely Connie Simiele Jennie Stults Jeff Westcott Jeff Widney	Ron Brunke Bob Bullock Bill Cox Laura Cusack Lorna Dittmer Ted Hopkins Sasa Kosjerina Jim Leary Rick Oldham Anthony Nagel Robert Nielson Linda Petersen Fred Ruck Ray Swenson Wayne Toebe Daniel Turlington Dave Watson Joel Williams	Brett Barnes Jerry Cammann Jeff Ehlis Garin Erickson Panfilo Gonzales Jr. Dashia Huff Mark Kamberg Edwin Lamm Candice Marple Jon McKibben Saul Martinez Jon Perry Christina Robison Lana Strickling Lou Upton	(TBD) <u>DOE RL, ORP, WIPP</u> Mary Beth Burandt Duane Carter Cliff Clark Tony McKarns Ellen Mattlin Greg Sinton Scott Stubblebine	Bill Bachmann Dean Baker Scott Baker Lucinda Borneman Paul Crane Tina Crane Jeff DeLine Ron Del Mar John Dorian Mark Ellefson Darrin Faulk Joe Fritts Lori Fritz Tom Gilmore Rob Gregory Gene Grohs James Hamilton Andy Hobbs Ryan Johnson Dan Kimball Megan Lerchen Richard Lipinski Charles (Mike) Lowery Michael Madison Terri Mars Cary Martin Marty Martin Grant McCalmant Steve Metzger Tony Miskho Matt Mills Tom Moon Chuck Mulkey Mandy Pascual Kirk Peterson	Jean Quigley Dan Saueressig Merrie Schilperoort Joelle Moss Glen Triner Greg Varljen Julie Waddoups Jay Warwick Kyle Webster Ted Wooley

DISCLAIMER - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

TWO MINUTE TRAINING

SUBJECT: Universal Waste Lamps and Prohibition on Crushing

Q: A universal waste (UW) handler (large or small) has some accidentally broken lamp bulbs destined for recycling at a UW receiving facility. The UW receiving facility reminds the UW handler that unintentionally broken bulbs are acceptable for recycling but intentionally broken bulbs, e.g., from a bulb crusher are prohibited. The UW handler reviews the UW regulations and finds no specific wording prohibiting broken bulbs and actually finds wording stating that a UW handler must minimize lamp breakage which does not sound like an absolute prohibition on broken lamps. So where in the UW regulations does it state crushing of UW bulbs is prohibited?

A: The UW handler is correct that according to [WAC 173-303-573](#), “Standards for Universal Waste” and paragraph (20)(c)(ii) [[40 CFR 273.33\(d\)](#)], a UW handler must minimize lamp breakage. Paragraph (20)(c)(i) also states that a UW handler must cleanup and containerize UW lamps that show evidence of “leakage, spillage, or damage”. (The State of Washington apparently wanted to avoid saying the words “breakage” or “broken”.) The UW handler is also correct that explicit wording prohibiting crushing UW bulbs does not appear in WAC 173-303-573.

However, there is a prohibition at WAC 173-303-573(18)(b) [[40 CFR 273.31\(b\)](#)], that states:

“A large quantity handler of universal waste is:

Prohibited from diluting or treating universal waste, except by responding to releases as provided in subsection (24) of this section; or by managing specific wastes as provided in subsection (20) of this section.”

Per [WAC 173-303-040](#) [[40 CFR 273.31\(b\)](#)], “Definitions”, treatment can include physical processing of dangerous waste to make such wastes reduced in volume. Therefore, crushing of bulbs would be treatment, and treatment of UW is prohibited. As further clarification, the [July 6, 1999, Federal Register](#) on page 36477, section B.1.c. states:

“In general, as explained in the preamble to the universal waste rule (60 FR 25519), the Agency does not believe that universal waste handlers, who are not required to comply with the full Subtitle C management standards, should treat universal wastes. Therefore, under today’s rule, both small and large quantity handlers of universal waste lamps are prohibited from diluting or treating universal waste lamps except by responding to releases as provided in §§ 273.17 and 273.37. Prohibitions for small quantity handlers are found in § 273.11 and for large quantity handlers in § 273.31. The prohibition against treatment includes a prohibition of crushing of lamps.”

Since treatment of UW is prohibited, and crushing is treatment, crushing of UW bulbs is prohibited.

SUMMARY:

- UW handlers are prohibited from treating universal waste.
- Crushing lamps meets the definition of treatment since the crushed bulbs would be reduced in volume.
- Since treatment of UW is prohibited, and crushing of bulbs is treatment, crushing of UW bulbs is prohibited.

Excerpts from WAC 173-303-040, WAC 173-303-573 and the July 6, 1999, Federal Register are attached to the e-mail. If you have any questions, please contact me at [“Paul_W_Martin@rl.gov”](mailto:Paul_W_Martin@rl.gov) or at (509) 376-6620.

FROM: Paul W. Martin

DATE: 5/18/17

FILE: 2MT\2017\051817.rtf

PG: 1

DISCLAIMER - “Two Minute Training” (“2MT”) is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author’s work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author’s past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author’s employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

TWO MINUTE TRAINING - ATTACHMENT

SUBJECT: Universal Waste Lamps and Prohibition on Crushing

WAC 173-303-040 Definitions.

When used in this chapter, the following terms have the meanings given below.

"Large quantity handler of universal waste" means a universal waste handler (as defined in this section) who accumulates 11,000 pounds or more total of universal waste (batteries, mercury-containing equipment, and lamps calculated collectively) or who accumulates more than 2,200 pounds of lamps at any time. This designation as a large quantity handler of universal waste is retained through the end of the calendar year in which 11,000 pounds or more total of universal waste and/or 2,200 pounds of lamps is accumulated.

"Small quantity handler of universal waste" means a universal waste handler (as defined in this section) who does not accumulate 11,000 pounds or more total of universal waste (batteries, mercury-containing equipment, and lamps, calculated collectively) and/or who does not accumulate more than 2,200 pounds of lamps at any time.

"Treatment" means the physical, chemical, or biological processing of dangerous waste to make such wastes nondangerous or less dangerous, safer for transport, amenable for energy or material resource recovery, amenable for storage, or reduced in volume, with the exception of compacting, repackaging, and sorting as allowed under WAC 173-303-400(2) and 173-303-600(3).

WAC 173-303-573 Standards for universal waste management.

(18) Prohibitions.

A large quantity handler of universal waste is:

- (a) Prohibited from disposing of universal waste; and
- (b) Prohibited from diluting or treating universal waste, except by responding to releases as provided in subsection (24) of this section; or by managing specific wastes as provided in subsection (20) of this section.

(20) Waste management.

(c) Universal waste lamps. A large quantity handler of universal waste must manage universal waste lamps in a way that prevents releases of any universal waste or component of a universal waste to the environment, as follows:

- (i) A large quantity handler of universal waste must immediately clean up and place in a container any universal waste lamps that show evidence of leakage, spillage, or damage that could cause leakage under reasonably foreseeable conditions. The container must be closed, structurally sound, compatible with the contents of the lamps, and must lack evidence of leakage, spillage, or damage that could cause leakage under reasonably foreseeable conditions;
- (ii) A large quantity handler of universal waste must minimize lamp breakage by accumulating lamps in containers or packages that are structurally sound, adequate to prevent breakage, and compatible with the contents of the lamps. The containers and packages must remain closed and must lack evidence of leakage, spillage, or damage that could cause leakage under reasonably foreseeable conditions;

FROM: Paul W. Martin

DATE: 5/18/17

FILE: 2MT\2017\051817.rtf

PG: 2

DISCLAIMER - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

TWO MINUTE TRAINING - ATTACHMENT

SUBJECT: Universal Waste Lamps and Prohibition on Crushing

Federal Register /Vol. 64, No. 128 /Tuesday, July 6, 1999 /Rules and Regulations **36477**

B. Requirements for Handlers of Universal Waste Lamps

1. Prohibition on Treatment

a. Summary of Proposed Provision.

The Agency requested comments on the same prohibitions for generators and consolidation points that were proposed in the February 11, 1993 universal waste proposal. The Agency had proposed that generators of hazardous waste lamps and consolidation points managing hazardous waste lamps be prohibited from diluting or disposing of the lamps and from treating them except in response to releases.

The Agency requested comments on management practices for lamps, the risks posed by these practices, and appropriate technical controls to minimize these risks which would not inhibit collection and proper management. The Agency requested comment on whether requirements should be included in the final rule to minimize mercury emissions during storage and transport of the lamps.

The definition of treatment under RCRA (40 CFR 260.10) includes any method, technique or process designed to change the physical, chemical, or biological character or composition of any hazardous waste so as to neutralize such waste, or so as to recover energy or material resources from, or render such waste non-hazardous or less hazardous, safer to transport, store or dispose of, amenable for recovery, or storage, or reduced in volume. The crushing of spent mercury-containing lamps clearly falls within this definition. The Agency therefore requested comment on whether generators or consolidation points should be allowed to crush lamps intentionally to minimize volume for storage or shipment and which, if any, standards should be imposed to protect against mercury releases during crushing or the subsequent management of crushed lamps.

b. Summary of Comments Received.

Several commenters stated that the Agency should maintain its proposed prohibition on waste treatment, including lamp crushing. These commenters said that lamp crushers are a significant source of mercury emissions and that many lamp recyclers prefer to receive whole lamps. Other commenters stated that generators should be allowed to separate, consolidate, and crush their own lamps. Many commenters supported allowing crushing if it were safely performed, and some commenters stated that crushing is necessary to reduce storage and transportation costs. Information submitted to the Agency on drum top crushing systems for lamps indicates that there is a wide range of air emissions of mercury from these units, depending on the type of controls, and that in some units emissions of mercury exceed the OSHA limit of 0.05 mg/m³.

FROM: Paul W. Martin

DATE: 5/18/17

FILE: 2MT\2017\051817.rtf

PG: 3

DISCLAIMER - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

TWO MINUTE TRAINING - ATTACHMENT

SUBJECT: Universal Waste Lamps and Prohibition on Crushing

c. Agency's Response to Comments and Summary of Promulgated Standards.

The Agency is adopting for universal waste lamps the prohibitions in the final universal waste rule promulgated on May 11, 1995. In general, as explained in the preamble to the universal waste rule (60 FR 25519), the Agency does not believe that universal waste handlers, who are not required to comply with the full Subtitle C management standards, should treat universal wastes. Therefore, under today's rule, both small and large quantity handlers of universal waste lamps are prohibited from diluting or treating universal waste lamps except by responding to releases as provided in §§ 273.17 and 273.37. Prohibitions for small quantity handlers are found in § 273.11 and for large quantity handlers in § 273.31. The prohibition against treatment includes a prohibition of crushing of lamps. EPA is particularly concerned that uncontrolled crushing of universal waste lamps in containers meeting only the general performance standards of the universal waste rule would not sufficiently protect human health and the environment. As stated earlier, the prevention of mercury emissions during collection and transport is one of the principal reasons that the Agency selected the universal waste approach. Allowing uncontrolled crushing would be inconsistent with this goal.

The Agency is aware that a number of states have already added spent lamps to their universal waste programs. Available information indicates that some of these state programs prohibit crushing of spent lamps, but that at least some state programs may allow crushing under regulatory requirements designed to control emissions of hazardous constituents, particularly mercury. The Agency believes that some state programs may include standards for controlling emissions from mercury containing lamps during crushing that could be equivalent, per RCRA Section 3006, to the federal prohibition.

Therefore, EPA will consider authorization of state programs that include provisions for controlling treatment or crushing of universal waste lamps, where the state program application includes a demonstration of equivalency to the federal prohibition. Factors the Agency would expect such an application to address include the effectiveness of technical requirements in controlling emissions of hazardous constituents, the level of interaction of regulated entities with the regulatory agency to ensure compliance with control requirements, and other factors demonstrating that the state regulatory program would be equivalent to the federal treatment prohibition.

FROM: Paul W. Martin

DATE: 5/18/17

FILE: 2MT\2017\051817.rtf

PG: 4

DISCLAIMER - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.