

<u>SUBJECT</u>		<u>DATE</u>
1253. Used Oil Filter Regulation – The Feds vs. Washington State	ENCORE	NOV 16, 2017
1254. PCB Radioactive Wastes and Exception Reporting	ENCORE	NOV 21, 2017
1255. Satellite Accumulation Requirements and Container Inspections	ENCORE	NOV 30, 2017
1256. Disposing of PCB Ballasts with PCB Potting Material	ENCORE	DEC 7, 2017
1257. Fluorescent Light Ballasts and PCB Annual Reporting		DEC 14, 2017
1258. 'Twas the Night Before Christmas – The Twenty-Fifth Annual Edition		DEC 21, 2017
1259. The Purpose of Keeping Containers Closed Except When Adding or Removing Wastes	ENCORE	DEC 28, 2017
1260. Satellite Accumulation and Product Vessel Cleanouts	ENCORE	JAN 4, 2018
1261. Conservative Declaration that Material is a Hazardous Waste	ENCORE	JAN 11, 2018
1262. Defining Criteria for Household Waste Exclusion	ENCORE	JAN 18, 2018
1263. The Household Waste Exclusion and Renovation Debris	ENCORE	JAN 25, 2018
1264. The Household Waste Exclusion and Renovation Debris – Part II	ENCORE	FEB 1, 2018
1265. The Mixtures Rule – Washington State vs. The Feds	ENCORE	FEB 8, 2018
1266. Spent Lead-Acid Batteries and Secondary Containment	ENCORE	FEB 15, 2018
1267. Spent Lead-Acid Batteries and Accumulation Time Limits	ENCORE	FEB 23, 2018
1268. CERCLA Hazardous Substances – A Brief Definition	ENCORE	MAR 1, 2018
1269. Radioactively Contaminated Lead-Acid Batteries and Hazardous Debris	ENCORE	MAR 8, 2018
1270. RCRA Treatment and the Two-Part Definition	ENCORE	MAR 15, 2018
1271. Who Wants to be a Generator!!!	ENCORE	MAR 22, 2018
1272. Who Wants to be a Generator Part 2!!!	ENCORE	MAR 29, 2018
1273. “No Smoking” Signs and Tobacco-Free Facilities		APR 5, 2018
1274. Aqueous Solutions and the Characteristic of Corrosivity	ENCORE	APR 12, 2018
1275. Aqueous Solutions and the Characteristic of Ignitability	ENCORE	APR 19, 2018
1276. PCB Bulk Product Wastes and the One Year Disposal Requirement	ENCORE	APR 26, 2018
1277. PCB Radioactive Wastes and Exception Reporting	ENCORE	MAY 3, 2018
1278. TSCA/PCB Determinations for Fluorescent Light Ballasts via the Manufacture Date	ENCORE	MAY 10, 2018
1279. RCRA Liquids, Free Liquids, and Releasable Liquids	ENCORE	MAY 17, 2018
1280. Satellite Accumulation Areas and the Three-Day Time Limit for Excess Accumulation		MAY 24, 2018
1281. Satellite Accumulation of Aerosol Cans and Determining the 55-Gallon Limit	ENCORE	MAY 31, 2018
1282. Universal Waste and Basis for the One Year Accumulation Time Limit	ENCORE	JUN 7, 2018
1283. F001 Degreaser versus F002 Solvent	ENCORE	JUN 14, 2018
1284. Hazardous Waste Determinations and Phase Separation	ENCORE	JUN 20, 2018
1285. PCB Certificates of Disposal and Manifesting Between Related Facilities		JUN 28, 2018
1286. PCB Concentrations and 10,000 PPM	ENCORE	JUL 5, 2018
1287. PCB Concentrations and 1,000 PPM	ENCORE	JUL 12, 2018
1288. Satellite Accumulation Containers and the Date of Accumulation Marking		JUL 19, 2018
1289. Satellite Accumulation Requirements in Washington State	ENCORE	JUL 26, 2018
1290. Satellite Accumulation Areas and Under the Control of the Operator		AUG 2, 2018
1291. Exceptions to Free Liquids in Landfills Prohibition	ENCORE	AUG 9, 2018
1292. Ampules and the Exception to Free Liquid in Landfills Prohibition		AUG 16, 2018
1293. Overpacks vs. Salvage Drums	ENCORE	AUG 23, 2018
1294. Universal Wastes - Recycling versus Disposal	ENCORE	AUG 30, 2018
1295. Universal Waste One Year Accumulation and Multiple Handlers	ENCORE	SEP 6, 2018
1296. Universal Waste and Multiple Handlers at One Facility		SEP 13, 2018
1297. Universal Waste, Satellite Accumulation and Centralized Collection Areas		SEP 20, 2018
1298. Available Regulatory Relief from Underlying Hazardous Constituent (UHC) Requirements	ENCORE	SEP 27, 2018
1299. Satellite Accumulation and the One Year LDR Prohibitions on Storage	ENCORE	OCT 4, 2018
1300. Purpose of the ≤90-day Hazardous Waste Accumulation Conditional Exclusion	ENCORE	OCT 11, 2018
1301. Regulatory Status of Used Oil Mixed with Diesel Fuel		OCT 18, 2018
1302. Recyclable Chemicals and Zombie Destruction	ENCORE	OCT 25, 2018
1303. Empty Containers and the “Empty” Label		NOV 1, 2018
1304. Manifest Exception Report Submittal Timeframes – RCRA vs. TSCA		NOV 8, 2018

DISCLAIMER - “Two Minute Training” (“2MT”) is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author’s work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author’s past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author’s employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

TWO MINUTE TRAINING

TO: CH2M HILL PLATEAU REMEDIATION COMPANY

FROM: PAUL W. MARTIN, RCRA Subject Matter Expert
CHPRC Environmental Protection, Hanford, WA

SUBJECT: MANIFEST EXCEPTION REPORT SUBMITTAL TIMEFRAMES – RCRA VS. TSCA

DATE: NOVEMBER 8, 2018

<u>CHPRC Projects</u>	<u>CH PRC - Env. Protection</u>	<u>MSA</u>	<u>Hanford Laboratories</u>	<u>Other Hanford Contractors</u>	<u>Other Hanford Contractors</u>
Richard Austin Tania Bates Rene Catlow Richard Clinton Larry Cole John Dent Lorna Dittmer Eric Erpenbeck Stuart Hildreth Mike Jennings Stephanie Johansen Sasa Kosjerina Melvin Lakes Richard Lipinski Jim McGrogan Stuart Mortensen Dave Richards Phil Sheely Connie Simiele Jennie Stults Jeff Westcott Jeff Widney	Bob Bullock Bill Cox Laura Cusack Jim Leary Anthony Nagel Linda Petersen Fred Ruck Ray Swenson Wayne Toebe Daniel Turlington Dave Watson	Brett Barnes Jerry Cammann Jeff Ehlis Garin Erickson Panfilo Gonzalez Jr. Dashia Huff Mark Kamberg Jon McKibben Saul Martinez Jon Perry Christina Robison Lana Strickling Lou Upton	(TBD) <u>DOE RL, ORP, WIPP</u> Mary Beth Burandt Duane Carter Cliff Clark Tony McKarns	Bill Bachmann Dean Baker Scott Baker Lucinda Borneman Paul Crane Tina Crane Ron Del Mar John Dorian Mark Ellefson Tom Gilmore Rob Gregory James Hamilton Andy Hobbs Ryan Johnson Megan Lerchen Charles (Mike) Lowery Michael Madison Terri Mars Cary Martin Grant McCalmant Steve Metzger Tony Miskho Matt Mills Tom Moon Chuck Mulkey Kirk Peterson	Jean Quigley Dan Saueressig Merrie Schilperoort Joelle Moss Glen Triner Greg Varljen Julie Waddoups Jay Warwick Ted Wooley

DISCLAIMER - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

TWO MINUTE TRAINING

SUBJECT: Manifest Exception Report Submittal Timeframes – RCRA vs. TSCA

Q: It is a strange day at the “Waste Generating Company” (WGC) when two manifests are not returned within the 35-day timeframe as required by [40 CFR 262.42](#) for a RCRA hazardous waste, and [40 CFR 761.217](#) for a TSCA PCB waste. WGC contacts the transporters and receiving facilities but to no avail and as required by both regulations, after the 45th day must submit a manifest Exception Report to the State or EPA. What is the timeframe for submitting these reports, i.e., immediately or some other timeframe?

A: 40 CFR 262.42, “Exception reporting”, basically states that the hazardous waste generator must submit an Exception Report if a copy of the manifest is not received within 45 days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter. Therefore, on day 45, the generator becomes subject to submitting an Exception Report but it is not clear if the report is due to EPA or the State on day 45 or if the generator must only begin the process and submit the report in a timely manner.

40 CFR 761.217, “Exception reporting”, basically states the same RCRA wording that the PCB waste generator must submit an Exception Report if a copy of the manifest is not received within 45 days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter. However, the PCB requirement includes additional wording that the Exception Report must be “*submitted to EPA no later than 45 days from the date on which the generator should have received the manifest*”. Since the PCB generator should have received a copy of the PCB manifest no later than 45 days after the initial transporter accepted the PCB waste, the PCB generator then has an additional 45 days to submit the PCB Exception Report. This is semi-clarified in [June 29, 1998, Federal Register](#) on page 35421 which states:

“EPA is not changing its requirement to submit exception reports under §761.215 (exception reports are now at 761.217 – PWMartin comment) due to their usefulness as an enforcement tool, but is adding a 45-day submission timeframe to §761.215(b), (c), and (d) for submission of the report to the EPA Regional Administrator.”

TSCA EPA copied the RCRA exception report wording but added wording clarifying a 45-day submission timeframe. The RCRA exception report wording could be interpreted to mean the report is due on day 45 or at the least; the generator begins the process to submit the report in a timely manner.

SUMMARY:

- Both RCRA and TSCA have manifest exception report requirements.
- Both RCRA and TSCA require contacting the transporter and receiving facility by the 35th day if a manifest copy has not been returned to the generator.
- If a copy is not received by the 45th day, RCRA’s submission timeframe of the exception report appears to be either on day 45 or sometime thereafter, but TSCA’s submission timeframe is specifically within 45 days of when the generator should have received the manifest copy.

Excerpts from 40 CFR 262.42 and 761.217 are attached to the e-mail. If you have any questions, please contact me at [Paul W Martin@rl.gov](mailto:Paul_W_Martin@rl.gov) or at (509) 376-6620.

FROM: Paul W. Martin

DATE: 11/8/18

FILE: 2MT\2018\1109818.rtf

PG: 1

DISCLAIMER - “Two Minute Training” (“2MT”) is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author’s work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author’s past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author’s employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.

TWO MINUTE TRAINING – ATTACHMENT

SUBJECT: Manifest Exception Report Submittal Timeframes – RCRA vs. TSCA

40 CFR §262.42 Exception reporting

(a)

- (1) A generator of 1,000 kilograms or greater of hazardous waste in a calendar month, or greater than 1 kg of acute hazardous waste listed in §261.31 or §261.33(e) in a calendar month, who does not receive a copy of the manifest with the handwritten signature of the owner or operator of the designated facility within 35 days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter must contact the transporter and/or the owner or operator of the designated facility to determine the status of the hazardous waste.
- (2) A generator of 1,000 kilograms or greater of hazardous waste in a calendar month, or greater than 1 kg of acute hazardous waste listed in §261.31 or §261.33(e) in a calendar month, must submit an Exception Report to the EPA Regional Administrator for the Region in which the generator is located if he has not received a copy of the manifest with the handwritten signature of the owner or operator of the designated facility within 45 days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter. The Exception Report must include:
 - (i) A legible copy of the manifest for which the generator does not have confirmation of delivery;
 - (ii) A cover letter signed by the generator or his authorized representative explaining the efforts taken to locate the hazardous waste and the results of those efforts.

40 CFR 761.217 Exception reporting

(a)

- (1) A generator of PCB waste, who does not receive a copy of the manifest with the handwritten signature of the owner or operator of the designated facility within 35 days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter, shall immediately contact the transporter and/or the owner or operator of the designated facility to determine the status of the PCB waste.
- (2) A generator of PCB waste subject to the manifesting requirements shall submit an Exception Report to the EPA Regional Administrator for the Region in which the generator is located if the generator has not received a copy of the manifest with the handwritten signature of the owner or operator of the designated facility within 45 days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter. The exception report shall be submitted to EPA no later than 45 days from the date on which the generator should have received the manifest. The Exception Report shall include the following:
 - (i) A legible copy of the manifest for which the generator does not have confirmation of delivery;
 - (ii) A cover letter signed by the generator or his authorized representative explaining the efforts taken to locate the PCB waste and the results of those efforts.

FROM: Paul W. Martin

DATE: 11/8/18

FILE: 2MT\2018\1109818.rtf

PG: 2

DISCLAIMER - "Two Minute Training" ("2MT") is a peer-to-peer communication, presented to share the benefit of the author's work experience with other professionals, who can independently evaluate his analysis. 2MT does not necessarily reflect the opinions, conclusions or policies of the author's past or current employers or the US Department of Energy. The author's employers do not take any responsibility for the accuracy of its conclusions. 2MT is not intended to be used as authoritative guidance or direction by any person or entity. Anyone transmitting or reproducing it is prohibited from modifying its content, this disclaimer, or other text, or republishing it independent of its original source.